

AMERICAN FAMILY LOSES \$1.6M APPEAL IN DAN SAMP *WRONGFUL* TERMINATION CASE.

American Family, it appears, is continuing to spend policyholder money like there's no tomorrow! Not only do we have to ask why the company continues to terminate so many agents, but we need to ask how they can justify the policyholder money they spend defending their actions when they wrongly terminate an agent. In DAN SAMP'S wrongful termination case, the jury awarded him \$1.6M, but AmFam decided to appeal. The verdict, just in for that appeal, is that **DAN SAMP won his \$1.6M case plus some \$400,000 in interest!** We are extremely happy for Dan. You deserve it, Dan, after nearly a 7-year court battle which proved your innocence. Congratulations also, to Attorney Jim Hammes for an outstanding job of defending Dan. [\[Click here to read the court decision.\]](#)

Needless to say, there are many more agents who deserve to be reimbursed for the wrong done to them. The reasons agents are being given for why they were terminated are shallow and frivolous, to say the least. Dan's **wrongful termination** and **wrongful breach of contract** case appears to show just how desperate this company apparently is to *totally* destroy agents they terminate. The fact is, Dan never did anything wrong and the jury concurred. Why then, is it morally and ethically right to terminate these fine agents who have spent their whole lives faithfully supporting American Family? Is it morally and ethically right for the company to spend policyholder money on lawsuits such as this when they know they are in the wrong? Policyholders have no clue how much money is being wasted. Money that could go to reduce their premiums but instead goes to destroy agents in court.

Let us know your thoughts and opinions. Email: NAAFAwest@comcast.net.